## DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

**Environmental Assessment** 

## WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Water Protection Bureau

Name of Project: Stillwater East Boulder Mine

**Type of Project**: Major Modification of Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

(MPDES) permit MT0026808

**Location of Project**: Latitude 45°30'45"N, Longitude 110°05'14"W

City/Town: Approximately 32 miles south of Big Timber, Montana

**County:** Sweet Grass

**Description of Project**: Stillwater Mining Company (SMC) is the owner and operator of the Stillwater East Boulder Mine (hereinafter Facility), an underground platinum and palladium mine.

This major modification is to extend the deadline for SMC to meet the final limits for three years, until September 1, 2023.

## **Applicable Regulations:**

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 5 - Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 6 - Surface Water Quality Standards.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 7 - Nondegradation of Water Quality.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 12 – MPDES Effluent Limitations and Standards

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 13 – MPDES Permits

Montana Water Quality Act, Section 75-5-101, et. seq., MCA.

**Benefits and Purpose of Action:** The permit ensures adequate treatment of wastewater prior to discharge and includes monitoring and reporting requirements for the quality and quantity of effluent discharged from the facility.

## **Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:**

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts).

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT				
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION			
	MEASURES			
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY	[N]			
AND MOISTURE: Are soils present which are				
fragile, erosive, susceptible to compaction, or				
unstable? Are there unusual or unstable geologic				
features? Are there special reclamation considerations?				
	DITTI			
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or	[N] The permit contains WQBELs that are based on Montana water quality standard promulgated in ARM 17.30.601 – 670 and			
groundwater resources present? Is there potential for	Montana's nondegradation provisions set forth in75-5-703, MCA and			
violation of ambient water quality standards,	ARM 17.30.701-715.			
drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or	7HCH 17.30.701 713.			
degradation of water quality?				
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be	[N]			
produced? Is the project influenced by air quality				
regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?				
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND	[N]			
QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be				
significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or cover				
types present?				
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE	[N]			
AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the				
area by important wildlife, birds or fish?  6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR	[N]			
LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are				
any federally listed threatened or endangered species				
or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Species				
of special concern?				
7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL	[N]			
SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or				
paleontological resources present?				
8. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent	[N]			
topographic feature? Will it be visible from				
populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive				
noise or light?	[N]			
9. LAND USE: (waste disposal, agricultural lands	[N]			
[grazing, cropland, forest lands, prime farmland], recreational lands [waterways, parks, playgrounds,				
open space, federal lands), access, commercial and				
industrial facilities [production & activity, growth or				
decline], growth, land-use change, development				
activity)				
10. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]			
RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby that				
will affect the project?				

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION		
	MEASURES		
11. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this project add to	[N]		
health and safety risks in the area?			

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT				
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES			
12. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURAL	[N]			
ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or				
alter these activities?				
13. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:	[N]			
Will the project create, move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated				
number.				
14. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:	[N]			
Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue?				
15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES: Will	[N]			
substantial traffic be added to existing roads? Will other services				
(fire protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed?				
16. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND	[N]			
GOALS: Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc.				
zoning or management plans in effect?				
17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND	[N]			
WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational				
areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there recreational				
potential within the tract?				
18. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND	[N]			
HOUSING: Will the project add to the population and require				
additional housing?				
19. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is some disruption	[N]			
of native or traditional lifestyles or communities possible?				
20. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY: Will the	[N]			
action cause a shift in some unique quality of the area?				
21. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC	[N]			
CIRCUMSTANCES:				
22(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we regulating the	[N]			
use of private property under a regulatory statute adopted pursuant				
to the police power of the state? (Property management, grants of				
financial assistance, and the exercise of the power of eminent				
domain are not within this category.) If not, no further analysis is				
required.				
22(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the agency	[N]			
proposing to deny the application or condition the approval in a				
way that restricts the use of the regulated person's private				
property? If not, no further analysis is required.				
22(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the answer to 21(b)	[N]			
is affirmative, does the agency have legal discretion to impose or				
not impose the proposed restriction or discretion as to how the				
restriction will be imposed? If not, no further analysis is required.				
If so, the agency must determine if there are alternatives that				
would reduce, minimize or eliminate the restriction on the use of				
private property, and analyze such alternatives. The agency must				
disclose the potential costs of identified restrictions.				

- 23. **Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered:** None
- 24. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impact: None
- 25. **Cumulative Effects:** None

26.	Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale: The preferred action is to modify the Stillwater Mining Company's MPDES permit no. MT0026808. The existing effluent limitations and other conditions, including monitoring and reporting requirements in the MPDES permit, implement technology-based controls on the discharge of pollutants required by the federal Clean Water and state water quality standards including nondegradation requirements in 75-5-303, MCA, Montana's Nondegradation Policy. The three-year extension will provide time for SMC to complete installation of the 10-micron filter and optimize the WWTF performance.					
	Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:					
	[]EIS []	More Detailed EA	[x] No Further Analysis			
	Rationale for Recommendation: An EIS is not required for the MPDES permit under the Montana Environmental Policy Act because the action to modify the MPDES lacks significant adverse effects to the human and physical environment. All of the anticipated effects to the physical and human environment will be mitigated or eliminated during the project implementation.					
27.	<b>Public Involvement:</b> The DEQ will provide for a 30-day public comment period on the draft permit fact sheet and environmental assessment.					
28.	Persons and agencies consulted in the preparation of this analysis: None					
EA C	hecklist Prepare	d By:				
EA pr	epared by Christir	ne Weaver, April 2020.				
Appr	oved by:					
	Jon Kenning, C Water Protection		Date			